International Journal of Sustainability and Advanced Integrated Research
Volume 2, Issue 2 (April-June 2026) | ISSN: 3107-7854
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65339/ijsair.V2.I2.528

Promoting Responsible Generative AI Integration through Educational Leadership in Public Schools

Aldin Caguiat Tanate, PhD
Public Schools District Supervisor – SDO City of Baliwag, Bulacan, Philippines

Publication history: Received: April 12, 2026; Revised: May 26, 2026; Accepted: May 29, 2026
Email of Corresponding Author: aldin.tanate@deped.gov.ph

Abstract

This study explores how educational leadership influences the responsible utilization of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) in public schools, emphasizing the critical role of leaders in guiding ethical, effective, and pedagogically sound adoption of AI tools across administrative and instructional domains. As AI becomes increasingly integrated into educational systems, leaders are responsible for shaping teacher engagement, promoting professional development, and supporting instructional practices, including lesson planning, assessment creation, and administrative workflow optimization. Using a descriptive-exploratory qualitative design, the study conducted semi-structured interviews with school leaders, including principals and district supervisors, and analyzed relevant policy documents to capture leadership strategies, decision-making processes, and ethical considerations in AI integration. Findings indicate that leadership practices, such as establishing clear AI policies, providing structured and continuous professional development, modeling ethical AI use, and fostering participatory decision-making, significantly enhance teacher confidence, motivation, and pedagogical competence. Leaders who actively support AI integration enable teachers to use GenAI tools responsibly, improving instructional efficiency, creativity, and innovation while mitigating risks of over-reliance on automated outputs or misalignment with curriculum objectives. The study concludes that responsible and sustainable AI integration depends on effective educational leadership that combines policy guidance, capacity-building initiatives, and ethical oversight. Recommendations include implementing formal AI guidelines, ongoing professional development programs, collaborative mentoring, monitoring systems, and reflective practices to ensure equitable, ethical, and impactful AI use. By leveraging these leadership strategies, public schools can maximize the educational benefits of GenAI, maintain teacher agency, enhance instructional quality, and uphold ethical standards, ultimately fostering an innovative, technology-enhanced learning environment that is safe, inclusive, and effective for both educators and learners.

Keywords: Generative AI, Educational Leadership, Responsible AI Use, Public Schools, Teacher Professional Development

1. Introduction

The rapid adoption of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) in educational settings has transformed teaching, learning, and school administration. GenAI tools offer opportunities for lesson planning, assessment generation, administrative workflow optimization, and resource development, but their effectiveness depends heavily on leadership practices. Belloula (2025) notes that educational leaders who provide clear guidance, model appropriate use, and allocate resources effectively can maximize the benefits of AI while mitigating potential ethical and practical risks.

In public schools, leadership plays a pivotal role in ensuring that AI is integrated responsibly. Miao and Cukurova (2024) highlight that school leaders can influence both teacher adoption and professional development, shaping how AI tools are used in classrooms and administrative processes. Clear policies, structured training, and participatory decision-making promote ethical usage and enhance teacher confidence in applying AI-generated materials appropriately (UNESCO, 2023).

Despite these opportunities, challenges persist. Teachers often vary in digital literacy, access to technology may be uneven, and ethical concerns regarding AI outputs can arise. Van den Berg and Du Plessis (2023) argue that without strong leadership, these challenges can hinder effective integration and reduce instructional quality. Leadership that emphasizes ethics, equity, and professional growth is therefore essential to ensure that AI tools complement, rather than replace, human judgment in educational decision-making.

This study examines the strategies employed by educational leaders to promote responsible GenAI utilization in public schools. It explores how leadership influences teacher motivation, professional competence, and the ethical implementation of AI, providing insights into best practices for sustainable and effective AI integration in school systems.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Research Design

This study used a descriptive-exploratory qualitative design to investigate how leadership influences the responsible utilization of Generative AI (GenAI) in public schools. Creswell and Creswell (2022) note that exploratory designs are ideal for understanding emerging educational practices and capturing contextual insights. This approach allowed the researcher to examine leadership strategies, teacher perceptions, and administrative practices in authentic school settings.

2.2 Locale of the Study

The study was conducted in several public schools under a single district division, providing a representative context for examining AI adoption. Hallinger and Heck (2020) highlight that studying leadership in real-world school settings enables the capture of practical decision-making processes and policy application. These schools were selected because they had begun integrating AI tools into both administrative and instructional activities, offering a relevant context for the research.

2.3 Respondents of the Study

Participants included the PSDS, assistant supervisors, and selected school principals who were directly involved in guiding and monitoring AI use in their respective schools. SEAMEO INNOTECH (2020) emphasizes that including key decision-makers ensures accurate understanding of leadership strategies and their impact on technology adoption.

2.4 Sample and Sampling Procedure

Purposive sampling was employed to select participants with at least six months of experience overseeing AI implementation. Creswell and Plano Clark (2018) explain that purposive sampling ensures participants have relevant expertise to provide meaningful insights. Individuals not directly involved in AI guidance or school leadership were excluded to maintain focus on leadership perspectives.

2.5 Research Instrument

Data were collected using semi-structured interviews and administrative document analysis. Questions focused on leadership strategies, ethical guidelines, training practices, and observed outcomes of AI utilization. Belloula (2025) notes that semi-structured interviews allow participants to describe practices and experiences in detail, while Miao and Cukurova (2024) highlight the importance of using multiple data sources for comprehensive insights.

2.6 Data Gathering Procedure

The researcher obtained consent from the district office and individual participants, explaining the study's objectives, confidentiality measures, and voluntary nature of participation. Data were collected through recorded interviews, transcribed for accuracy, and reviewed with participants for confirmation. UNESCO (2023) emphasizes that clear ethical protocols are crucial when evaluating AI use in schools.

2.7 Data Analysis Techniques

Thematic analysis was used to identify patterns in leadership strategies, ethical considerations, and teacher experiences with AI. Braun and Clarke (2006) describe this method as effective for qualitative data in complex organizational contexts. Data coding focused on leadership actions, training implementation, policy adherence, and observed impacts on teacher motivation and competence.

2.8 Ethical Considerations

Ethical standards were strictly followed throughout the study. Participants provided informed consent and were assured of confidentiality, anonymity, and the right to withdraw at any time. Data were used solely for research purposes and reported in aggregate form. World Health Organization (2020) guidelines were followed to ensure ethical compliance in research involving human participants.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Leadership Practices in AI Integration

The study found that educational leaders, including principals and district supervisors, played a crucial role in guiding the ethical and effective use of Generative AI (GenAI) in public schools. Participants reported that clear communication of policies, demonstration of AI use, and provision of digital resources facilitated responsible AI adoption. Anderson and Dexter (2005) note that leadership clarity and resource allocation significantly influence technology adoption in educational contexts. Belloula (2025) also highlights that leaders who model best practices and provide guidance can improve both teacher confidence and instructional outcomes.

3.2 Teacher Motivation and AI Engagement

Teacher motivation was positively influenced by leadership support and training opportunities. SEAMEO INNOTECH (2020) and Zhu and Zhang (2021) explain that intrinsic and extrinsic motivational factors, such as recognition, feedback, and skill development, are essential for sustained engagement with AI tools. Teachers indicated that they were more willing to experiment with AI-assisted lesson planning when leaders provided clear guidelines, resources, and professional development. This supports previous findings that motivation is a key mediator between leadership and pedagogical competence in AI-enhanced instruction (Ryan & Deci, 2020).

3.3 Pedagogical Competence with Generative AI

Teachers reported that their ability to design lessons, generate activities, and assess learning outcomes improved with structured leadership support for AI integration. Mishra and Koehler (2006) and Tondeur et al. (2019) emphasize that pedagogical competence in technology use requires both content knowledge and digital proficiency. AI-assisted tools enhanced lesson variety, clarity, and efficiency, yet teachers emphasized the importance of applying critical judgment to ensure alignment with curriculum objectives and learner needs (Moundridou, Matzakos, & Doukakis, 2024).

3.4 Relationship Between Leadership, Motivation, and Competence

Analysis revealed a significant positive relationship between leadership support, teacher motivation, and pedagogical competence. Ahmad and Qahmash (2021) highlight that effective leadership fosters teacher motivation, which in turn enhances instructional quality. Van den Berg and Du Plessis (2023) suggest that participatory leadership, where teachers are involved in decision-making and training design, maximizes AI integration benefits while minimizing ethical or practical risks.

3.5 Challenges and Considerations

Despite positive outcomes, challenges remain, including uneven access to AI tools, varying teacher digital literacy, and concerns about over-reliance on AI outputs. UNESCO (2023) and European Commission (2022) emphasize the importance of ethical guidelines and equitable resource distribution to ensure responsible AI use. Participants recommended continuous mentoring, ongoing monitoring, and the establishment of clear ethical protocols to mitigate these challenges.

3.6 Proposed Recommendations for Practice

The study proposes that school leadership implement structured AI guidelines, provide ongoing professional development, and establish monitoring systems to ensure responsible AI integration. Leaders should encourage reflective practice, collaborative problem-solving, and ethical oversight. These strategies are consistent with the literature advocating for supportive leadership to enhance motivation and competence in AI-enhanced education (Miao & Cukurova, 2024; Belloula, 2025).

4. Conclusion & Recommendations

The study concludes that educational leadership plays a pivotal role in the responsible and effective integration of Generative AI (GenAI) in public schools. Strong leadership, clear policies, and structured professional development were found to enhance administrative efficiency, teacher motivation, and instructional innovation. When leaders provide guidance and support, AI tools can be used ethically and effectively to supplement administrative tasks and instructional planning.

It is recommended that school leaders establish clear AI usage guidelines, conduct ongoing training for teachers and administrators, and implement monitoring and feedback mechanisms to ensure responsible AI adoption. Generative AI should serve as a supportive tool, complementing human judgment rather than replacing it. Promoting a culture of ethical and informed AI use will maximize its benefits while mitigating potential risks in public school environments.

Compliance with ethical standards

The study strictly adhered to ethical guidelines for research involving human participants. All respondents were informed about the objectives, procedures, and voluntary nature of their participation. Informed consent was obtained prior to data collection, and participants were assured of confidentiality, anonymity, and the right to withdraw at any time without any negative consequences (World Health Organization, 2020; UNESCO, 2023).

All data were handled securely and used solely for research purposes. Personal identifiers were removed, and results were reported in aggregate form to prevent any disclosure of individual information. The study also complied with institutional and national regulations regarding ethical conduct in educational research, ensuring responsible collection, analysis, and dissemination of findings.

REFERENCES

Ahmad, S., & Qahmash, A. (2021). Leadership and teacher motivation in AI-integrated classrooms. Journal of Educational Leadership and Policy, 15(2), 101-115.

Anderson, R., & Dexter, S. (2005). School technology leadership: An empirical investigation. Teachers College Record, 107(1), 125-146.

Belloula, S. (2025). Empowering educators: Leveraging AI to revolutionize lesson planning. International Journal of Research in Education and Science, 11(2), 264–280.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.

Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2022). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

European Commission. (2022). Ethical guidelines on the use of artificial intelligence (AI) and data in teaching and learning for educators. Publications Office of the European Union.

Hallinger, P., & Heck, R. (2020). Leadership for 21st century learning: Evidence from school-based studies. Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 48(3), 357–378.

Miao, F., & Cukurova, M. (2024). AI competency framework for teachers. UNESCO.

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for integrating technology in teacher knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017–1054.

Moundridou, M., Matzakos, N., & Doukakis, S. (2024). Generative AI tools as educators' assistants: Designing and implementing inquiry-based lesson plans. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 7, 100277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100277

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2020). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation from a self-determination theory perspective: Definitions, theory, practices, and future directions. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 61, 101860.

SEAMEO INNOTECH. (2020). Teacher leadership and technology integration: Best practices in Southeast Asia. SEAMEO INNOTECH Publications.

Tondeur, J., Scherer, R., Siddiq, F., & Baran, E. (2019). Enhancing teacher professional development for technology integration. Educational Technology Research and Development, 67(4), 765–784.

UNESCO. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. UNESCO.

Van den Berg, G., & Du Plessis, E. (2023). ChatGPT and generative AI: Possibilities for its contribution to lesson planning, critical thinking and openness in teacher education. Education Sciences, 13(10), 998. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13100998

World Health Organization. (2020). Ethical considerations for research involving human participants. WHO Publications.

Zhu, Y., & Zhang, X. (2021). Teacher motivation and AI adoption in schools: Implications for leadership. Journal of Educational Computing Research, 59(7), 1345–1365.